

23 September 2010

DSA SNC

CHIEF PUBLIC MAA AOLA

CC: EXTERNAL SECURITY CHF OSA INT  
EXT SEC SITS HANDLING OFF OSA INT  
D/CO EXTERNAL OSA WUS  
EXTERNAL SECURITY CHF OSA FLAG

**ALERT**  
**RE: SUSAN LOIS LEWIS ET AL**

Dear Darlene,

I have been pulling some strings with different public in your field and that of DSA MV. Apparently some of this data may already be on your lines but I am alerting you to what I've found so far.

I recently received indicators that Susan Lewis might be disaffected, so began contacting people around her questioning them for specifics as to what she was saying. Below you will find two reports from Rick Manning and from Christina Guzzetta based on my questions.

When I questioned Christina, she mentioned that you were aware of this sit to some degree. I have no idea exactly what data you do or don't have, hence this alert.

Based on Christina's report, I contacted Jeni Westerfield and got from her what data she had on Susan. She said that Susan had tried getting into black PR with her recently where she was telling Jeni about how Bruce Pratt had been declared and how much she admired him (Bruce recently turned and went squirrel).

Bruce had been in comm with Mari Perry earlier while he was turning, and this was apparently in coordination with you/OSA. Mari was also mentioned as discussing black PR with Susan in Christina's report, as well as contacting Rick Manning regarding AC360 entheta.

Jeni said that Susan did not act as if she had met with Pratt, so Jeni figured she was reading his black PR online. Jeni said that Joe Lewis had also told her that Susan had some disaffection going on, but that Susan had said she was “not going to leave the Church” (not that I believe her).

I went over some applicable references with Jeni to further proof her up in this area, and gave her some additional ones to read.

Jeni also told me that Susan had started spreading black PR to Rick Manning, her boss on OT VII. I then got a line in with Rick Manning and had him get me a report on what black PR he has been hearing from Susan, and this is below. While his report is not specific on enemy lines, it is obvious that Susan has bought into a lot of squirrel lines. Rick also mentions another potential disaffected (Jon Lebeda) who might be feeding Susan BPR.

Those who Christina mentions as having meetings to discuss disaffection with Susan:

Mari Perry, OT VII  
Tom Chandler, OT VII  
Vicki Chandler, OT VII  
Potentially Laura Schneider (ASHO public)

Rick Manning indicates that she is in comm with Jon Lebeda as well. Per Lebeda’s file, he has been disaffected for many years.

I am alerting you so you know this is going on. I will have one of the above (Christina Guzzetta or one of the others) get Susan Lewis to see me so I can handle her.

Meanwhile, I need any data you already have about this scene with the above people so I can determine exactly who is disaffected/enemy connected and how badly.

Mlv,

Julian Swartz

Chief Public MAA AOLA  
10 September 2010

CHIEF MAA AOLA

Christina Guzzetta

**Re: Susan Lois Lewis**

Hello! Here it is finally!

If I may ask, I believe it would be a very good idea if you do not mention it to Susan that this data came from me or Joe or she will shut down immediately.

Five days ago Joe Lewis, Susan's ex-husband called me in regards to Susan. He said she had hooked up with some people who had disagreements with fund raising and Ideal Orgs and the Golden Age of Tech. He told me the terminals were Mari Perry and possibly Laura Schneider. He said he didn't agree with Fundraising either but that he loved the Tech and was continuing on his course at Mountain View Org. He wanted me to get with Susan without her knowing he and I spoke. He was worried about her.

Joe had also spoken to Jenny Westover (spell?) who is the Div 2 Reg at Mountain View Org regarding Susan as he was concerned. I called Jenny and she said she keeps Susan at arm's length now because she can be that way. They used to be the best of friends.

I got with Susan on Sept 7th for about an hour and a half. I started by just getting in comm and asking about her new boyfriend of two plus months. He is a Marine reserve if I remember correctly and is not a Scientologist. When I asked her if she'd mentioned Scientology she said yes and that he'd never heard of it before. There was no negative response. She said she is taking it very slowly.

In my comm cycle with Joe the other day he mentioned his other concern was when he spoke to Susan earlier she wanted a shelf Joe was getting rid of that had cabinet doors so she could put all her Scientology books into it for when her boyfriend came over to her

house for the first time. She didn't want the books all out in the open, having her house look like a bookstore. She has a lot of other reading material out on shelves per Joe so it did not make sense to him.

When I got with Susan on the 7th of Sept. I asked her what her goal was on doing the OT Levels. She said she can't because she has disagreements. I asked her what they were and she said they have to do with fundraising and with raising money for the Ideal Orgs. She feels the public inflow should create enough income to pay for all the expansion. I pointed out how generously LRH donated to our cause and that I have seen a reference re fundraising for Ideal Orgs. She said that would help her.

She also had disagreements with the Golden Age of Tech. I asked her how? She said Auditors aren't being made, it takes too long. I told her that was incorrect and that I loved the Golden Age of Tech and I became a better auditor because of it. I also told her Flag is getting more Auditors made now than ever. She said that helped. I told her to talk to guys who have done the Golden Age of Tech and she can hear all their wins. She then said she met with some people regarding these disagreements they all shared. They had asked her to join them. She said she met with them and she did hear natter. I told her this was an extremely 1.1 activity and she agreed. If they knew of some out tech why are they not just writing it up to the proper terminals, etc.

She did not tell me who the terminals were on the 7th. I asked her today, on Sept 9th, who were these people as it stuck for me. She said one was Mari Perry and the other she didn't want to say. I guessed if it was the Chandlers as I know Mari is best friends with them and I knew Tom Chandler has his own disagreements with fundraising etc. She confirmed the Chandlers were there.

I asked if Laura Schneider was there and she said no. I asked her how did she get involved in this and she told me she had flow three'd a comm cycle when Mari called Susan's boss, Rick Manning, because she was so enturbulated about the "Anderson Cooper" interview. She wanted to talk to him. I still didn't get my question answered but Susan hooked up with Mari at that time when Mari had reached out to Rick Manning. Rick is the head of a WISE consulting

group called Sterling Management. I do not know if Tom's wife Vickie Chandler was at this meeting too. She recently has sent her Solo Nots materials back to Flag because she can not afford to stay on the level. I asked Susan who else was there but she told me she could not tell me the other people. I didn't persist.

I did ask Susan if she would allow her disagreements to be addressed and I would get her the LRH on the issues and she said that would be good. When I had mentioned on Sept 7th that there was a reference re Fundraising she said that would help to handle her but then when we covered the subject on the 9th again she was right back into the disagreement. There are definite signs of PTSness and of being "right". She was in the GO before and she said sometimes big changes in the Church can be restimulating of that time period.

To recap, she met with a few Scientologist who have disagreements and she has her own. She did not get herself handled. I believe this was a few months ago. She felt they could get in trouble for their meeting. I pointed out that she has to get handled as this is her group. She will only individuate from the group to that degree. She agreed but she is not handled on her view point. She said the comm cycle we had did help her. I told her she needs to get a terminal that can help her with this stuff and she agreed. I was wondering if I could suggest you, the senior MAA at AOLA to be her terminal and bridge the cycle over that way. She does love to talk so she will give up the data. She needs to actually stop talking.

I believe that covers it.

ML,

Christina

Julian Swartz

22 September 2010

Rick Manning

Hi Julian,

Jeni Westerfield told me you wanted a report on what happened with my junior, Susan Lewis, two or three months ago. If you don't already know, Susan is OT IV (pre golden age), Class IV (pre Golden age), and a GREAT Div 6 sup. I have personal experience with her supping here at Pacific, and she is supping at the SV Mission as I am sure you know. I am on OT VII, and been professionally consulting using LRH tech for 26 years now. I am a pretty stand-up guy. I was the Dissem Sec at Mt. View org for 2 1/2 years ending in August 2009.

I have worked with Susan for many years at my WISE licensed consulting company, Pacific Management Consultants. A couple of months ago we were talking about an event I had gone to and she said something like: I am not sure I am in agreement with how COB, DM is running things, like this whole Ideal Org strategy- that does not seem to be in conformance with policy to me, shouldn't we just be delivering standard tech and the expansion will happen because of that?

She also mentioned something about those former SO execs who are attacking DM and that we are not really "in the loop" about what happens in those exec lines, I told her that those guys were SP. That they were accusing DM and others of doing what they were doing. I mentioned the Freedom mag articles, etc. I think she had not read those yet, but not certain.

Anyway, I was REALLY surprised, and actually shocked by her comm. I pointed out that there are references like "Org Image" and some others that she may not be thinking with on this strategy, and there is also the whole release of OT IX and X that has to be confronted. She did not really handle on my comm. We both had production to work on so I had her go get to work while I did the same.

I thought about it a bit more as I worked, and realized this was REAL enemy line she was spouting, and I cannot have that on my lines at all as an active Solo NOTS auditor. I also know she has never given me comm like this over 9 years of working together- so while shocked, the writing was on the wall as far as someone on her lines feeding her crap.

I went to her office and brought my dictionaries and a couple of references. I told her what she had said had really bothered me, and I want her to know the LRH tech of it for herself. I had her read the definitions of enemy, enemies, and enemy connected in the admin dictionary. I then 2WC with her that she was talking like she was enemy connected. I then had her read HCOPL "The Enemy Line."

I TWC'd with her about it and I saw the criticalness and "rightness" she had been forwarding went away in the comm cycle. I also told her she should read HCOPL "Black PR" and "How to Handle Black Propaganda." I told her that whoever she had connected up with (I mentioned John Luboda- an old friend of hers who is nattery about OT V, but never goes into session to handle it as a possible source- but that did not seem to be an item for her).

I said whoever it was they were spreading enemy line, and were in fact enemies to our group. I said that if she wanted to go in that direction she could, but I could not tolerate that kind of crap on my lines.

She apologized and told me that I really helped her look at these things in a different light. It seemed to dissipate from there. I did not get anything like that anymore from her. In fact when I mentioned the fund raising event and that we were making progress she was actually supportive- nothing negative in voice or flow from her. So I didn't really think more about it until Jeni told me you wanted a report. She had also told me at some point after wards that she had read the Freedom Mag stuff or maybe re-read it and that those former SO Execs WERE crims....and acting as per the references on criminality...

Susan has not had much auditing in recent years at all. She is on the Basics, and I see some real changes in her operating basis since getting on those, and she had mentioned MANY, Many wins.

I wish I had a more specific time line for you, but the above is my recollection.

ARC, Rick Manning